

REMARKS

The claims have been amended to more clearly define the invention as disclosed in the written description. In particular, the claims have been amended for clarity.

The Examiner has rejected claim 14 under 35 U.S.C. 101 in that the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicant submits that claim 14, as amended, claims "A ~~non-transitory~~ computer-readable media embedded with a computer program...", which is indeed statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Applicant acknowledges that the Examiner has allowed claims 1-13, 15 and 16, and has found claim 14 allowable over the prior art of record.

Applicant believes that this application, containing claims 1-16, is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

by /Edward W. Goodman/  
Edward W. Goodman, Reg. 28,613  
Attorney  
Tel.: 914-333-9611